

**D17-06**  
**Standard of Proof in Unemployment Insurance Law Cases**

Date: January 19, 2017  
Proposed by: DWD  
Prepared by: Andy Rubsam

**ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE**  
**Standard of Proof in Unemployment Insurance Law Cases**

**1. Description of Proposed Change**

The standard of proof is “a rule about the quality of the evidence that a party must bring forward to prevail.”<sup>1</sup> The standard of proof used in a legal proceeding depends on the nature of the proceeding. The preponderance of the evidence is the burden of proof used “in most civil trials, in which the jury is instructed to find for the party that, on the whole, has the stronger evidence, however slight the edge may be.”<sup>2</sup> A more stringent burden of proof is clear and convincing evidence, which is “evidence indicating that the thing to be proved is highly probable or reasonably certain.”<sup>3</sup> The highest level of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt, which is used in criminal proceedings.

Currently, Wisconsin’s unemployment insurance law does not contain a uniform standard of proof. The Commission applies the clear and convincing standard to concealment cases and cases involving misconduct for theft by the employee. Minnesota unemployment law provides that all issues of fact are determined by a preponderance of the evidence.<sup>4</sup> The Department proposes that all issues of fact in Wisconsin unemployment insurance cases (other than criminal penalties) shall be determined by a preponderance of the evidence. Criminal cases based on violations of the unemployment insurance law would continue to be determined by the higher “beyond a reasonable doubt” standard.

---

<sup>1</sup> Standard of Proof, Black’s Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014).

<sup>2</sup> Preponderance of the Evidence, Black’s Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014).

<sup>3</sup> Evidence, Black’s Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014).

<sup>4</sup> MN Stat. § 268.031(1).

**D17-06**  
**Standard of Proof in Unemployment Insurance Law Cases**

**2. Proposed Statutory Changes**

**Section 108.09 (3m) of the statutes is created to read:**

(3m) STANDARD OF PROOF. All issues of fact in cases decided under this section are determined by a preponderance of the evidence.

**Section 108.095 (5) of the statutes is amended to read:**

(5) ~~Any~~ A hearing on an appeal under this section shall be held before an appeal tribunal ~~appointed~~ established under s. 108.09 (3). Section 108.09 ~~(3m), (4), and (5)~~ applies to the proceeding before the appeal tribunal.

**Section 108.10 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:**

(2) ~~Any~~ A hearing on an appeal under this section ~~duly requested~~ shall be held before an appeal tribunal established ~~as provided by~~ under s. 108.09 (3), ~~and s. Section~~ 108.09 (3m), (4), and (5) shall be applicable applies to the proceedings before ~~such~~ the appeal tribunal. The department may be a party in any proceedings before an appeal tribunal. The employing unit or the department may petition the commission for review of the appeal tribunal's decision under s. 108.09 (6).

**3. Effects of Proposed Change**

- a. Policy. The proposed change regarding the standard of proof will require parties to all non-criminal unemployment insurance cases to show the same level of evidence as in other civil cases. This will align the burden of proof in unemployment insurance cases with the burden of proof in other civil cases.
- b. Administrative. This proposal will require training of adjudication staff and administrative law judges.
- c. Fiscal. A fiscal estimate will be provided in the future.

## **D17-06**

### **Standard of Proof in Unemployment Insurance Law Cases**

#### **4. State and Federal Issues**

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

#### **5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date**

This proposal would be effective with other changes made as part of the agreed bill cycle.